Five Years After Rising Above the Gathering Storm



C. D. Mote, Jr.

University of Maryland

WSAS 2011 Annual Meeting

Seattle Museum of Flight

Thursday September 22, 2011

Introduction

- 2005 *Rising Above the Gathering Storm* Report has had remarkable longevity.
- 2010 RAGS, Revisited Approaching Category 5 provides a chilling account of reality



Today:

- How did this start?
- What progress have we made?
- What should we be doing?

Sen. Lamar Alexander, May 11, 2005

Closing Comments 2005 NAS Meeting



- Titled remarks "The Next Big Surprise" --- "in 10 or 20 years other countries may close the economic gap between themselves and the U.S."
- "The world will no longer allow 5% of the people to control 30% of the wealth."
- "We need to work together to ensure that our current prosperity is passed on to the next generation"

Congressional Brushfire Ignited

• On May 27 NAS received a **Senate** letter requesting response to maintaining U.S preeminence in S&T.



• On June 30 NAS receive a **House** letter requesting response . . . by September 30.

 Recognized that the future economy, security and quality of life depends on innovation, largely derived from science and engineering.

Response: NRC Committee

• RAGS Committee: 20 members: Nobel laureates, national lab directors, university presidents, CEOs, former presidential appointees

Norm Augustine, Chair



• SOT: What top ten federal actions would enhance the U.S. science and technology enterprise so that the Unites States can successfully compete, prosper, and be secure in the 21st century?

Targeted call-to-action by Federal Government

 Straight-forward set of prioritized recommendations with price tags and time lines

 Sen Alexander touted the report in the Senate, putting his credibility behind a report that didn't yet exist and a committee that had yet to meet, setting the stage for a media blitz following its presentation in October 2005

• <u>Problem:</u> SOT extended beyond several different federal agency responsibilities reaching into State and local issues, like k-12 education and regional innovation, and even into the private sector.

Academy Report October 2005

 Report targeted two needs considered critical to every American:



- What action steps are necessary to ensure high quality, high paying jobs for Americans?
- How can the nation ensure a plentiful supply of clean and affordable energy?

4 recommendations & 20 steps

- K-12 Science and Mathematics Education: Highest Priority Recommendation
 - Teachers and talent pool

Science and Engineering Research

- Basic research and transformational ideas
- Best and Brightest
 - Talent: American and Global

Incentives for Innovation

 THE premier place in the world to innovate, invest and create high-paying jobs

4 recommendations & 20 steps

- K-12 Science and Mathematics Education: Highest Priority Recommendation
 - Human Capital



Science and Engineering Research

- Knowledge Capital
- Best and Brightest
 - Human Capital

Incentives for Innovation

- Location, location, location

Authorization of America Competes August 9, 2007

- three-year authorization
- Most support from one-time ARRA funds
- Many different responsible authorities and budgets
- DOE most assertive response
 - ARPA-E formed to undertake high risk energy ventures
 - strong support from Secretary Chu and the President.

America Competes reauthorized on January 4, 2011

- Miraculously (House 228-130)
- Increasing science and research investments



- Strengthening STEM education
- Developing a national infrastructure for innovation
- Double the budgets at NSF, DOE-Science and NIST over 10-years (if funds are appropriated)

- K-12 Science and Mathematics Education
- 2009 PISA
 - Performance Reading, Mathematics and Science evaluated student literacy
 - 34 OECD countries plus 41 others



- U.S. ranked 34th math (below OECD avg); 22nd science and 17th reading (at OECD avg)
- South Korea: **1st** OECD country, below avg per capita income
- U.S.: avg OECD country; 1st in OECD per capita income
- Shanghai: scored 1st in each subject and 1st overall.

2010-11 World Economic Forum (Davos)

- WEF ranks global competitiveness 139 countries annually.
- U.S. education ranked
 - 34th in primary education quality
 - 52nd in math and science education quality (below the 40th percentile) and
 - 26th in higher educational systems
- The relative performance U.S. K-12 students continues to decline, particularly in math and science.
 - Few of our high school graduates are capable of pursuing careers in science or engineering.
 - Other countries are not standing still.



- Science and Engineering Research
 - Federal support of research declined 60% over forty years **1.92% GDP** in 1964 and **0.76%** GDP in 2004



- Federal support university research is declining compared to other countries (ITIF, Atkinson and Stewart, May 2011)
 - 2008 0.24% GDP ranked the U.S. 22nd of 30 countries, below the country avg 0.34% GDP
 - Sweden ranked highest 0.61% GDP, 2.5 times the U.S. level
- Industrial support university research ranked the U.S. 21st of 30 at 0.020% GDP.
 - Industry support declined 7% since 2000

Best and Brightest

- Higher education globally is under great stress
- Everywhere higher education is expanding
- New and reformed Universities are leaping out of the ground
- Some are associated with foreign universities, but many have significant resources, bold visions and excellent facilities.
- Talent is in great demand –The competition for it is fierce and can only get more intense.

- U.S. is no longer the beneficiary of weak higher education systems and inadequate job opportunities abroad that drove the world's highest-quality students to study and careers in America.
- As those deficiencies abroad continue to decline and opportunities increase, competition for talent can only increase.
- 2/3 U.S. PhD degrees in engineering are awarded to international students. Blessing or problem or both?
 - The universities sending the largest number of students to U.S.
 PhD programs in sciences and engineering are:
 - 1st Tsinghua University,
 - 2nd Peking University and
 - 3rd UC Berkeley.

What progress have we made?

Is the U.S. more competitive today than 5 years ago?

- Desire to immigrate to the U.S. by skilled U.S. resident Chinese and Indian S&E workers was surveyed in April 2011 by the Kauffman Foundation
 - In 2009 the number of Chinese who returned to China increased 56% over 2008 to 64,600



- In 2010 the number increased another 33% over 2009 to a total of 134,000
 - Over 90% Chinese and 60% Indian returnees stated that economic opportunities at home were very important to their decision
 - Over 80% Chinese and 70% Indian returnees said opportunity to start a business was more favorable at home
- In 2007 the total number of foreign PhD degrees **16,022**
 - 92% Chinese & 81% Indian PhD holders stayed at least 5 years

What progress have we made?

Is the U.S. more competitive today than 5 years ago?

- Incentives for Innovation
 - U.S. global competitiveness ranked 4th in 2010-11 World Economic Forum, Davos
 - 4th of 139 countries overall
 - 2nd in 2009-10 (until passed by Sweden and Korea)
 - 1st in 2008-09 and earlier (until passed by Switzerland)



Feb 2009 (Information technology and Innovation foundation)

- U.S. rank 6th of 39 behind Singapore, Sweden, Luxembourg, Denmark, and Korea
- U.S. ranked 1st in 1999.
 - U.S. score *increased* between 1999 and 2009 but not competitively with increases in the other countries.



What progress have we made? Across the board: less competitive.

- Rising Above the Gathering Storm committee *unanimously* concluded that the U.S. is less globally competitive today than it was in 2005.
- Fallen backwards in all four RAGS recommendations.
- NVERSITZ 18 18 7 8 VI 8 VI 8 10 56
- Earlier predictions underestimated the global rates of change.
 - China became the second largest economy in 2010.
 - 2016 was predicted in the RAGS volume (published 2006)
 - International students are returning home because of more attractive working opportunities
 - Progress has been achieved in k-12 education, but our schools are less competitive

Summary

 U.S. has taken actions but they are too little, without long-term commitment, do not engage those responsible, and do not reflect an appreciation of the accelerating advancement of other countries.



- It is fair to conclude that a top-priority commitment to U.S. global competitiveness in science and technology is not U.S. policy.
- This is not an easy problem:
 - The four RAGS recommendations call for coordinated support from many different, disconnected and independent sources.
 - Regional and state actions are mandatory for many of the responsibilities are located there.

Outlook

- An "Approaching Category 5" storm is here because our nation does not yet comprehend the seriousness of its problem – we look inward and do not see:
 - accelerating global change
 - increasing global competitiveness
 - competition for global talent
- Simply put, we don't get it yet.



